

## An orange by-product flour as a stabiliser of oil-in-water emulsions

**CARCEL J. (1), UMA M. (2), EIM V. (2), LLULL L. (2), GARAU C. (3)**

1 Food Technology Department, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia, Spain

2 Department of Chemistry, Universitat de les Illes Balears, Palma, Spain

3 Institute of Research and Formation Agricultural and Fishing (IRFAP), Government of the Balearic Islands, Palma, Spain

This research aimed to evaluate the use of an orange by-product flour (OBF), rich in pectins (22 g/100 g dry matter (dm)) and with high antioxidant activity (28 mg TROLOX equivalent/g dm) in the formulation of oil-in-water emulsions (6 % oil w/w). The OBF was obtained after extracting the orange juice and blanching, freeze-drying, and milling (<0.5mm) the remained pulp and peel. Different concentrations of OBF in the emulsions were evaluated (1.0, 2.4, and 3.4 % w/w named 1.0OBF, 2.4OBF, and 3.4OBF, respectively) by comparing them with a control emulsion prepared with a synthetic emulsifier (Tween®20) (0.21 % w/w). The emulsions were assessed in terms of apparent viscosity (rotational viscometry), Z potential (electrophoretic mobility), droplet size distribution and flocculation after their preparation and 24 h later (optical microscopy and image analysis), and creaming after 24 h. ANOVA and Tukey tests were applied to evaluate differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) among the emulsions. The addition of OBF significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased the viscosity of the emulsion compared with the control ( $152 \pm 6$  mPa·s) only for 3.4OBF ( $211 \pm 1$  mPa·s). Similarly, the Z potential absolute value was only significantly higher than the control ( $-15.8 \pm 0.9$  mV) for 3.4OBF ( $-19.7 \pm 1.3$  mV). The control showed the smallest droplet (median diameter  $2.4 \pm 0.1$   $\mu$ m) and 1.0OBF and 2.4OBF the largest ( $10.8 \pm 0.4$   $\mu$ m), increasing the OBF concentration up to 3.4 % resulting in a 30 % decrease of the droplet size comparing with 1.0OBF ( $7.7 \pm 0.1$   $\mu$ m). The control was highly flocculated (41 %) while emulsions containing OBF showed no flocculation. No differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) in the median diameter after 24 h was observed in control and 3.4OBF, while 1.0OBF and 2.4OBF showed 75 and 16 % median diameter increases, respectively. No creaming was observed in 3.4OBF while control, 1.0OBF, and 2.4OBF showed high values of creaming index (63, 77, and 50 %, respectively). Overall, the use of the OBF allowed to obtain a more stable emulsion than the one formulated with a synthetic emulsifier depending on the concentration of the material, being more stable the emulsion prepared with 3.4 % OBF, and also provided antioxidant capacity which might protect the oil from oxidation.